


AI Reputation Analysis and Signal Evaluation - Gentoo Linux

BRAND AI REPUTATION

Software, SaaS & Tech Products Reputation: Gentoo Linux (gentoo.org)

<https://gentoo.org>

Industry: Software, SaaS & Tech Products



REPUTATION LEVEL

SOFTWARE, SAAS & TECH PRODUCTS

66.9 Avg Reputation

Based on 1129 businesses audited.

HIGHER REPUTATION THAN AVERAGE

Gentoo Linux has 29.1 points more reputation than the average for Software, SaaS & Tech Products.

EXPERT VERDICT

Gentoo.org is the anti-bullshit benchmark for technical websites. It replaces marketing narratives with raw technical logs, versioned updates, and community accountability.

[See how to improve >](#)

INFO DENSITY

Power-words vs. Substance ratio.

30

100% Reputation

Information density is exceptionally high, with almost 0 percent fluff in headings. Instead of power words like 'revolutionary,' the site uses technical nouns and versioning such as 'Copy Fail, Dirty Frag, and Fragnesia kernel vulnerabilities' and 'ABI variants o32, n32, and n64.' The body text is saturated with technical identifiers like 'sys-kernel/gentoo-sources' and specific architecture testing results, leaving no room for generic marketing language.

SEMANTIC COHERENCE

Homepage promise vs. Sub-page reality.

20

100% Reputation

There is zero semantic drift between the homepage signal and the sub-page substance. The homepage defines Gentoo as a 'flexible Linux distribution,' and the sub-pages immediately validate this with deep technical updates on Hurd ports, MIPS/Alpha support, and binary package availability. The content is rigorously focused on technical implementation rather than high-level value propositions.

TRUST & PROOF

Verifiable evidence vs. Trust Theatre.

19

95% Reputation

DIAGNOSIS: TRUST THEATRE

Trust theatre is non-existent. The site reports a `review_count` of 0 on the homepage and lacks any trust theatre flags or unverified 'trusted by' logos. Claims are backed by links to Bugzilla, security databases (GLSA), and official mailing lists rather than vague testimonials.

EVIDENCE: PROOF DENSITY

The ratio of verifiable evidence to assertions is top-tier. For every mention of a feature or update, the site provides specific package names, shell commands (e.g., `qemu-system-i386` strings), or links to the Security database. It demonstrates its work in real-time through the 'New packages' and 'Security advisories' feeds.

COMMODITY FINGERPRINT

Detection of industry cliches/templates.

14

93% Reputation

The site successfully avoids nearly all industry clichés. It ignores terms like 'enterprise-grade' or 'turnkey platform' in favor of project-specific terminology. A minor penalty is applied only for the repeated footer navigation structure which follows a standard template fingerprint, though the body content remains entirely unique.

IDENTITY & AUTHORITY

Expert verifiability & Schema depth.

13

87% Reputation

DIAGNOSIS: AUTHORITY GAPS

Authority is high but structured data is underutilized. While the site names specific developers like Hans de Graaff and mgorny, there is a lack of associated Person schema or external sameAs links within the provided JSON-LD. The technical credibility is maintained through the precision of the documentation rather than marketing certifications.

EVIDENCE: PERFORMANCE VS. CLAIMS

There is no marketing-to-reality disconnect. Performance claims are specific to hardware support, such as the Ampere Altra Max server hosted at OSU Open Source Lab, and the stabilization of KDE Plasma 6.1.4. Every technical claim is accompanied by a 'how-to' or a reference to a specific repository item.

[See how to improve >](#)

INDUSTRY MATCH & SCORE SUMMARY

Software, SaaS & Tech Products Reputation: Gentoo Linux (gentoo.org)

Reputation: 96 / 100

INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION

The website perfectly aligns with the software and tech category, specifically focusing on Linux distribution and package management. The technical depth of the content confirms a high-authority developer-centric platform.

"The score of 96 is driven primarily by minor gaps in structured data (Identity) and a standard navigation template (Fingerprint). In terms of actual content, the site contains effectively zero bullshit, making it one of

the most substantial sites in the technical category."

ANALYSIS DISCLOSURE & SOURCE ATTRIBUTION

This analysis is part of a non-adversarial audit conducted by 1 Euro SEO. The results are intended as professional feedback to help improve any website's machine-readability and authority signals. The evaluation is free, and any company can request a fresh audit at any time.

Any company can use the insights for free and improve its voice. When a company has updated its content, it can always submit a new audit request, which will be reflected in a new current score.

You are encouraged to visit the live site at <https://gentoo.org> to view the most current version of its content and see directly what this company is about and what it offers.

Verified Analysis Date: May 30, 2026

(c) 1EuroSEO Independent Evaluator - Non-Sponsored Result